

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,243	12/06/2001	Scott C. Sanner	7784-000356	5792
27572 7	590 11/17/2003		EXAM	INER
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			ABRAMS, NEIL	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		le le			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/010,243	SANNER, SCOTT C.			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Neil Abrams	2839			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	<ul> <li>a timely filed amendment whi</li> </ul>	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
2 PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three motearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);				
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: O	rreconsideration has been con- blections and Rej	sidered but does, NOT place the			
application in condition for allowance because: Objections and Rejections in last off action are still considered proper action are still considered proper raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	have been considered ted, are seen to b	t, however specific be matters of			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	proved or b) <del>disapproved by</del>	- <del>the Examine</del> r.			
10. Other: For claim 2, cover to	same specificat	ions as existing			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statements of the statement of	shows the existing	ng cover. The new			
cover, fig 4, seems much lo	irger in size than	fig 2 cover			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

NEIL ABIPAMO Paper No. 6
EXAMINER ART UNIT 329